## Lincolnshire <br> Working for a better fuwe

Report Reference: 9.2

| Open Report on behalf of Richard Wills - Executive Director - Communities |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Report to: | Mid-Lincolnshire Local Access Forum, |
|  | South Lincolnshire \& Rutland Local Access |
| Date: | Forum |
| Subject: | $10^{\text {th }} \& 2$ 23rd April 2013 |
|  | Progress of Definitive Map Modification Order <br> Work from October 2006 - 9 |

## Summary:

A report on the progress of Definitive Map Modification Order work since the inception of the current prioritisation policy (October $2006-9^{\text {th }}$ April 2013) and current caseloads following workforce change.

## Recommendation(s):

That the report is noted

## 1. Background

As Surveying Authority the County Council has a statutory duty to keep under continuous review the Definitive Rights of Way Map and Statement for Lincolnshire and to make orders to take account of events requiring the map to be modified. This is carried out by the processing of Definitive Map Modification Orders (DMMOs) which are either applied for by the public or initiated by the Authority on the discovery of evidence.

Highways \& Traffic Guidance Note HAT33/3/11 sets out that such cases will be dealt with in order of receipt/initiation unless one or more of the eight "exception criteria" apply.

The criteria are as follows:

1. Where there is sustained aggression, hostility and ill feeling within a community that is causing severe disruption to the life of that community, and that in processing the case early there is a strong likelihood that this will reduce.
2. Where there is a significant threat to the route, likely to cause a permanent obstruction (e.g. a building, but not, for example, a locked gate or residential fencing).
3. Where there is, or has been, a finding of maladministration by the Local Government Ombudsman on a particular case and that in processing the case the County Council will discharge its duty to the Ombudsman's decision.
4. Where legal proceedings against the County Council are instigated or are likely to be instigated and it is possible that the Authority has a liability.
5. Where there is a risk to children on County Council owned property and land or where the claimed route would provide for a safer alternative route to a school, play area or other amenity for children.
6. Where there is a significant financial saving to the County Council (and therefore taxpayers) through the processing of an Order.
7. Where a new application is received that relies on evidence of a case already received or, if the new application forms part of or is adjoining to an existing claim, the new claim will be dealt with at the same time as the older application.
8. Where the route will significantly assist in achieving a Countryside and Rights of Way Improvement Plan Objective or Statement of Action.

The above numbered exception criteria do not cover every eventuality and it is recognised that in exceptional circumstances there may be other reasons why it would benefit the public for a case to be considered out of normal order. Officers will not prioritise any case under such circumstances and any appeal will only be considered by the Definitive Map \& Statement of Public Rights of Way Sub-Committee.

Initially the priority of a case is set by Officers however there is a right of appeal for any affected persons whereby a decision is made by the Definitive Map \& Statement of Public Rights of Way Sub-Committee.

Appendices $A, B$ and $C$ to this report outline the progress that has been made over since the inception of the revised Schedule of Priorities and $1^{\text {st }}$ October 2012.

## 2. Conclusion

That the Rights of Way \& Countryside Access Section has continued to make progress against casework backlogs.

## 3. Consultation

a) Scrutiny Comments
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## b) Executive Councillor Comments

c) Local Member Comments
d) Policy Proofing Actions Required n/a

## 4. Appendices

| These are listed below and attached at the back of the report |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Appendix A | Schedule of Modification Order Cases Completed October 2006 <br> to 9 |  |  |
| Appendix B April 2013 |  |  |  | | Progress of Modification Order Cases where Appeals to |
| :--- |
| Prioritisation Have Been Made. |

## 5. Background papers

The following background papers as defined in the Local Government Act 1972 were relied upon in the writing of this report.

Highways \& Traffic Guidance Note 33 - Prioritisation of Definitive Map Modification Orders - HAT 33/3/11

This report was written by Chris Miller, Countryside Access Manager, who can be contacted on 01522782070 or countryside_access@lincolnshire.gov.uk.
Appendix A - Schedule of Modification Order Cases Completed October 2006 to $1^{\text {st }}$ October 2012
CASES WHERE AN ORDER WAS NOT MADE
Careby, Aunby \& Holywell $\quad$ Reclassification of RB1

$$
\text { Reclassification of RB } 4
$$

Reclassification of RB 10
Downgrading of RB 127
laimed Public Footpath
laim Public Footpath
Claimed path dedicated by landowner instead (PF987)
Decision not to make, no appeal by applicant
DEFRA upheld decision, insufficient evidence
Realignment not necessary - requires diversion order
Insufficient evidence
Legal Issue regarding Village Green status
DEFRA upheld decision, insufficient evidence
Insufficient Evidence
Insufficient Evidence
14/6/2011 Insufficient Evidence - DEFRA Dismissed applicants appeal 2/6/2011 Insufficient Evidence
Appendix A - Schedule of Modification Order Cases Completed October 2006 to $1^{\text {st }}$ October 2012
CASES WHERE AN ORDER HAS BEEN SUCCESSFULLY CONFIRMED

17/01/2007
17/01/2007

| 05/03/2007 |
| :--- |
| $16 / 03 / 2007$ |

18/04/2007
20/04/2007
23/04/2007
02/05/2007
05/06/2007
08/06/2007
No 11/06/2007
20/08/2007
21/08/2007


15/11/2007
30/10/2007
07/01/2008
15/01/2008
07/02/2008
30/05/2008
05/06/2008
09/06/2008
27/06/2008
Application?
Yes 12/02/2002

| Yes 21/06/1999 |
| :--- |
| No 12/10/2006 |

Yes 15/05/2000
No 21/08/1996
Yes 15/11/1997
Yes 15/11/1997
Yes 06/09/1999
Yes 04/06/1998
Yes 20/08/2007
Yes 20/07/1992
Yes 27/08/1987
Yes 15/11/1993
Yes 20/08/2000
Yes 08/03/1999
Yes 27/06/1991
Yes 10/02/1987
Yes 25/10/2001
No 02/02/1987

| No 02/03/2007 |
| :--- |
| Yes 22/05/1989 |


Yes 31/10/2005
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Appendix A - Schedule of Modification Order Cases Completed October 2006 to $1^{\text {st }}$ October 2012
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { North Somercotes } & \text { Claimed Public Footpath from Keeling St to Rd } & \text { Yes 02/02/1991 } & \text { 27/11/2009 } & \text { Secretary of State confirmation as Public Bridleway } \\ \hline \text { Spilsby } & \text { Claimed Public Footpath from Halton Rd to PF 160 } & \text { Yes 22/02/1989 } & 12 / 01 / 2010 & \\ \hline \text { East Barkwith } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Claimed Public Footpath from Village Green on Torrington Lane } \\ \text { to church yard }\end{array} & \text { Yes 24/07/1992 } & 06 / 05 / 2010 & \\ \hline \text { Newton \& Haceby } & \text { Upgrade of Restricted Byway 11 to BOAT Newton to A15 } & \text { Yes 27/05/2004 } & 10 / 08 / 2010 & \text { Sch. } 14 \text { appeal - Directed to make Order } \\ \hline \text { Newton \& Haceby / Walcot } & \text { Upgrade of Restricted Byway 2 and Public Bridleway 3 "Green } & \text { Yes 27/05/2004 } & 10 / 08 / 2010 & \\ \hline \text { Lane" } & \text { Rogdyke (Chapel Hill) } & \text { Realignment of Public Footpath from Crown Inn to River Witham } & \text { Yes 14/10/2002 } & 17 / 08 / 2010\end{array}\right]$
Appendix A - Schedule of Modification Order Cases Completed October 2006 to $1^{\text {st }}$ October 2012

## CASES WHERE AN ORDER WAS NOT CONFIRMED

| Sutton St Edmund | Claimed BOAT - Hallgate Road |
| :--- | :--- |

19/09/2008 Not confirmed by Inspector 23/01/2009 $\quad$ Not confirmed by Inspector 23/01/2009 Not confirmed by Inspector 23/01/2009 Not confirmed by Inspector 23/01/2009 Not confirmed by Inspector 03/06/2009 Order incapable of being c 14/09/2009 Not confirmed by Inspector 28/09/2010 Not confirmed by Inspector
19/05/2011 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not confirmed by Inspector - Insufficient evidence to prove a } \\ & \text { specific route }\end{aligned}$
Not confirmed by Inspector - Insufficient Evidence (LCC
objected after having been made to make the order on appeal by the applicant)
30/03/2012 Not confirmed by Inspector
20/04/2012 Not confirmed by Inspector
19/06/2012 Not confirmed by Inspector
25/01/2013 Not confirmed by Inspector

## No

| CASES WHERE AN ORDER WAS NOT CONFIRMED |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parish | Further details | Formal application? | Decision Date | Notes |
| Sutton St Edmund | Claimed BOAT - Hallgate Road | No 31/10/1988 | 19/09/2008 | Not confirmed by Inspector |
| Woolsthorpe by Belvoir | Claimed Public Footpath from County boundary to Brewer's Grave | Yes 25/03/1999 | 23/01/2009 | Not confirmed by Inspector |
| Woolsthorpe by Belvoir | Claimed Public Footpath from Village Street to Brewer's Grave | Yes 23/12/2001 | 23/01/2009 | Not confirmed by Inspector |
| Woolsthorpe by Belvoir | Claimed Public Footpath from Church to Brewer's Grave | Yes 23/12/2001 | 23/01/2009 | Not confirmed by Inspector |
| Woolsthorpe by Belvoir | Claimed Public Footpath from Chequers Inn to Brewer's Grave | Yes 23/12/2001 | 23/01/2009 | Not confirmed by Inspector |
| East Stockwith | Realignment of Public Footpath No. 37 | Yes 23/12/2001 | 03/06/2009 | Order incapable of being confirmed, owing to drafting error |
| Kirkby Underwood | Deletion of Public Footpath 7 | Yes 18/04/1983 | 14/09/2009 | Not confirmed by Inspector |
| Temple Bruer with Temple High Grange | 2 Claimed BOATs - Cocked Hat Plantation | No 06/02/1985 | 28/09/2010 | Not confirmed by Inspector |
| Mablethorpe | Claimed Public Footpath from Queensway to King Georges Field | Yes 15/08/2005 | 19/05/2011 | Not confirmed by Inspector - Insufficient evidence to prove a specific route |
| Wewton \& Haceby 0 | Upgrade of Restricted Byway 11 to BOAT Newton to A15 | Yes 27/05/2004 | 15/06/2011 | Not confirmed by Inspector - Insufficient Evidence (LCC objected after having been made to make the order on appeal by the applicant) |
| Dlanby | Claimed Public Footpath between Chapel Lane and Public Footpath 218 | Yes 27/041984 | 30/03/2012 | Not confirmed by Inspector |
| Quath | Claimed Public Footpath from Kiln Lane to High Holme Road | Yes 25/04/2007 | 20/04/2012 | Not confirmed by Inspector |
| Langriville | Leagate Rd to Mere Booth Rd. | Yes 26/07/1983 | 19/06/2012 | Not confirmed by Inspector |
| North Hykeham / Lincoln | Station Road to Clarke Road | No | 25/01/2013 | Not confirmed by Inspector |

## CASES CURRENTLY SUBMITTED TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE

Decision due c. May 2013
Public Inquiry 30/4/2013 Notes

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \frac{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{O} \\ & \stackrel{+}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \text { N } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ |

Appendix A - Schedule of Modification Order Cases Completed October 2006 to $1^{\text {st }}$ October 2012
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Appendix B - Progress of Modification Order Cases where Appeals to Prioritisation Have Been Made.

Since the inception of the current prioritisation policy the Definitive Map \& Statement of Public Rights of Way Sub-Committee has heard appeals on a total of 12 cases. The following is a synopsis of the progress made on those specific cases.

## $1^{\text {st }}$ March 2007 <br> Horncastle - Claimed Public Footpath along Holt Lane to Banovallum Gardens

At the time of this sitting of the sub-committee this case was number 74 on the priority list. The sub-committee declined the appeal as it was felt that the case was likely to be dealt with in a short timescale

As the case had not been processed a further review of the decision was made at the sitting of the sub-committee on $30^{\text {th }}$ June 2008 - see below.

## Mablethorpe and Sutton - Claimed Public Footpath from Queensway to King George's Field

This case was number 142 on the priority list. The sub-committee allowed the appeal on the grounds that there was evidence that there was "Significant threat to the route and likely to cause a permanent obstruction with no means of resolving the problem by way of diversion ".

The Statement of Reasons for this case was submitted to the Secretary of State on $27^{\text {th }}$ April 2010. The Order was NOT CONFIRMED

## $4^{\text {th }}$ June 2007 <br> Stamford - Claimed Public Footpath from Welland Mews to Lower Stamford Meadows

This case was number 168 on the priority list. The sub-committee declined the appeal as it was felt that the submitted petition was not representative given the size of Stamford as a town.

Since this time a second appeal was made following the inclusion of the new exception criteria 8 in 2009. Officers accepted that the claimed route would have a significant impact on the implementation of the Countryside Access \& Rights of Way Improvement Plan. The case is currently with the Secretary of State for determination but awaiting a start date.

## $10^{\text {th }}$ January 2010

Eagle \& Swinethorpe / Swinderby: Claimed Public Bridleway from Southern Iane to Public Bridleway 12 (Morton Lane)

This case was number 110 on the priority list. The sub-committee allowed the appeal on the grounds that there was "sustained aggression, hostility and ill feeling within a community that is causing severe disruption to the life of that community, and that in processing the case early there is a strong likelihood that this will reduce".

Having conducted the relevant research the County Council felt that the evidence suggested that restricted byway rights, rather than public bridleway rights, existed. The order was CONFIRMED
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Appendix B - Progress of Modification Order Cases where Appeals to Prioritisation Have Been Made.

## Sleaford - Claimed Public Footpath from Millfield Terrace to Eastgate

This case had not been prioritised by officers at the time of the sitting of the subcommittee. The appeal was allowed on the grounds that there was "a risk to children on County Council owned property or where routes are likely to be used by children to provide safe access to schools, play areas or other local amenities".

Following the relevant research the County Council concluded that the submitted evidence did not show that any public right of way existed. The applicant exercised their right to appeal this decision to the Secretary of State in January 2009. the appeal was not upheld and the ORDER WAS NOT MADE

## $30^{\text {th }}$ June 2008 <br> Lea - Claimed Public Bridleway from Lea Park to new plantation off Willingham Road, (nr Gainsborough)

This case was number 147 on the priority list. The sub-committee declined the appeal as it was felt that the arguments made by the appellant did not match any of the exception criteria.

Since this time a second appeal was made following the inclusion of the new exception criteria 8 in 2009. The sub-committee allowed this appeal at the sitting of $14^{\text {th }}$ September 2009 on the grounds that the claimed route would have a significant impact on the implementation of the Countryside Access \& Rights of Way Improvement Plan.

After conducting research and assessing the evidence the County Council made an order on $16^{\text {th }}$ July 2010. The Order was CONFIRMED

Horncastle - Claimed Public Footpath along Holt Lane to Banovallum Gardens
See above.
The sub-committee prioritised the case on the grounds that new information suggested that there was "a risk to children on County Council owned property or where routes are likely to be used by children to provide safe access to schools, play areas or other local amenities".

The case has been CONFIRMED with modifications following submission to the Secretary of State.

## $19^{\text {th }}$ January 2009 <br> Burgh le Marsh - Claimed Public Footpath from Faulkners Lane to Orby Road.

This case was number 77 on the priority list. The sub-committee declined the appeal as it was felt that the arguments made by the appellant did not match any of the exception criteria.

No further progress has been made on this case.
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Appendix B - Progress of Modification Order Cases where Appeals to Prioritisation Have Been Made.

Dogdyke (Chapel Hill) - Claimed Public Footpath from The Crown Inn Public House to the River Witham.

This case was number 94 on the priority list. The sub-committee allowed the appeal on the grounds that legal proceedings against the County Council were likely to be instigated and it is possible that the Authority has a liability.

Following the relevant investigations the County Council made an order on $1^{\text {st }}$ June 2010 and, with no objections being made, the Order was CONFIRMED

## $29^{\text {th }}$ June 2009 <br> Lincoln - Claimed Public Footpath from Dunkirk Road to Breedon Drive

This case was number 141 on the priority list. The sub-committee declined the appeal as it was felt that the arguments made by the appellant did not match any of the exception criteria.

No further progress has been made on this case.

## Mumby - Realignment of Public Footpath No. 59

This case was number 142 on the priority list. The sub-committee allowed the appeal on the grounds that legal proceedings against the County Council were likely to be instigated and it is possible that the Authority has a liability.

Following investigation an Order was made and with objections withdrawn the Order was CONFIRMED.
$14^{\text {th }}$ September 2009
See $30^{\text {th }}$ June 2008 above

## $12^{\text {th }}$ October 2009

Raithby \& Hundleby - Claimed Byway Open to All Traffic (BOAT) \& upgrade of Public Bridleway No 133 to BOAT

This case was number 155 on the priority list. The sub-committee declined the appeal as it was felt that the arguments made by the appellant did not match any of the exception criteria.

No further progress has been made on this case although it has been subject to protracted correspondence and a corporate complaint. The applicant also exercised their right of appeal to the Secretary of State following the expiration of one year since the application was made. The Secretary of State felt that LCC's Schedule of Priorities was fair and declined to order that a decision be made.

## $4^{\text {th }}$ October 2010

Caistor - Claimed Public Footpath between Buttermarket \& Plough Hill
This case was number 153 on the priority list. The sub-committee allowed the appeal on the grounds that there was "sustained aggression, hostility and ill feeling within a community that is causing severe disruption to the life of that community,

Appendix B - Progress of Modification Order Cases where Appeals to Prioritisation Have Been Made.
and that in processing the case early there is a strong likelihood that this will reduce".

An order has been made but received objections. Statement of Reasons prepared Objections likely to be withdrawn.

## $5^{\text {th }}$ September 2011 <br> Mablethorpe \& Sutton - Claimed Public Footpath from Sandhurst Road to the Promenade

The case was 129 on the priority list. The sub-committee did not allow the appeal based on the grounds that there was "sustained aggression, hostility and ill feeling within a community that is causing severe disruption to the life of that community, and that in processing the case early there is a strong likelihood that this will reduce" also the Town Council felt that the claimed route may be useful to the fire-brigade in dealing with the regular arson attacks to the beach huts at Sandilands.

## Wrangle - Claimed Byway Open to All Traffic "Cockleshell Alley"

This case was number 94 on the priority list. The sub-committee allowed the appeal on the grounds that there was "sustained aggression, hostility and ill feeling within a community that is causing severe disruption to the life of that community, and that in processing the case early there is a strong likelihood that this will reduce".

The case has now been allocated to a case officer and is in progress. An Order to add a Restricted Byway was made and advertised 3dr April 2013.
$3^{\text {rd }}$ October 2011
Bourne - Claimed Footpath from Beaumont Drive to Bourne Woods (No. 142 on the Priority List).

This case was number 142 on the priority list. The sub-committee deferred its decision pending the planning decision due to be considered by South Kesteven District Council. Having received this no further action is currently required.

## Holbeach - Claimed Footpath between Langwith Gardens \& Stukeley Hall Drive

This case is number 67 on the priority list. The sub-committee allowed the appeal on the grounds that there was "sustained aggression, hostility and ill feeling within a community that is causing severe disruption to the life of that community, and that in processing the case early there is a strong likelihood that this will reduce"

The case has now been allocated to a case officer and is in progress.

## $16^{\text {th }}$ July 2012

## Sturton by Stow - Claimed Public Footpath from Bransby to Tillbridge Lane

The case is at No. 124 on the priority list. The sub-committee did not allow the appeal as it did not consider that the planning permission granted for the land adjacent had an effect on the right of way
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Appendix B - Progress of Modification Order Cases where Appeals to Prioritisation Have Been Made.

## $5^{\text {th }}$ November 2012

## Utterby - Claimed Public Footpaths Grange Lane to Holywell Lane and Former Railway

The Committee allowed the appeals for these two separate cases and were in agreement with the applicant that the routes fulfilled Criteria 8 "Where the route will significantly assist in achieving a Countryside and Rights of Way Improvement Plan Objective or Statement of Action".

The case has now been allocated to a case officer and is in progress. User interviews are complete and pre-decision consultation on-going until $15^{\text {th }}$ April 2013.

## Colsterworth - Deletion of Public Footpath No. 14

The Committee allowed the appeal on the basis that it felt that the route was the subject of much local concern and had given rise to a number of incidents of illfeeling in the community and had become a particular problem for the occupiers.

The case is at No. 21 in the priority list and awaits allocation to an officer.

## $8^{\text {th }}$ April 2013

Heapham - Variation of Particulars contained in the Definitive Statement for PF 57
The Committee allowed the appeal on the basis that it felt that the route was the subject of much local concern and had given rise to a number of incidents of illfeeling in the community.

The case is at No. 22 in the priority list and awaits allocation to an officer.
Appendix C - Outstanding Modification Order Cases

| Parish | File | Status | Further details | Application/Acceptance | Active | Priority Ranking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Waddington | 128 | RB | RB 10 | 01/01/1990 | Yes | 1 |
| Ancaster | 2 | RB | to BOAT | 13/11/1991 | Yes | 2 |
| Holbeach | 236 | PF | Langwith Gardens to Stukeley Hall Drive | 18/01/2002 | Yes | 3 |
| Wrangle | 283 | BOAT | Claimed BOAT from Church Lane to Church End "Cockle/Cocleshell Alley" | 05/09/2005 | Yes | 4 |
| Carlton Scroop/Ancaster | 308 | BOAT | Upgrade of PF 11 \& PB 11 in Carlton Scroop and RB 13 in Ancaster to BOAT | 20/03/2006 | Yes | 5 |
| Ancaster | 309 | BOAT | Addition of a BOAT along Heath Lane in the parish of Ancaster | 23/03/2006 | Yes | 6 |
| Stamford | 315 | PF | From Welland Mews to Lower Stamford meadows | 08/02/2007 | Yes | 7 |
| Caistor | 340 | PF | Claimed Footpath (Buttermarket) South St to Plough Hill | 18/09/2009 | Yes | 8 |
| Utterby | 354 | PF | Claimed Footpath from Grange Lane to Holy Well Lane | 08/05/2012 | Yes | 9 |
| Utterby | 355 | PF | Claimed Footpath from Grange Lane to former railway and beyond | 08/05/2012 | Yes | 10 |
| New Leake | 353 | PF | Claimed Footpath from Spilsby Rd to Spilsby Rd | 30/05/2012 | Yes | 11 |
| Holbeach | 50 | PF | Woodhouse Lane to Hurn Bank | 14/06/1983 | Yes | 12 |
| Anderby | 3 | PF | Sea road to Creek out fall | 25/07/1983 | Yes | 13 |
| DJuth Willingham / Benniworth | 96 | PF | Claimed PF from Grange farm, Low Barns to road south of Skirbeck House | 30/04/1986 | Yes | 14 |
| Pouth Willingham | 97 | PF | Hainton Rd to High St | 30/04/1986 | Yes | 15 |
| \%outh Willingham / Hainton | 98 | PF | Moors Lane to A157 | 30/04/1986 | Yes | 16 |
| South Willingham | 99 | PF | Moors lane to Poplar Fm | 30/04/1986 | Yes | 17 |
| PRickenby | 121 | BOAT | Wickenby R/way Bdge - Wickenby Wood corner | 11/12/1990 | Yes | 18 |
| Saltfleetby St Clement/Skidbrooke with Saltfleet Haven | 82 | PB | PB from Sea View to Gowts Farm | 06/08/1991 | Yes | 19 |
| Woodhall Spa | 193 | PF | Mill Lane to R.Witham Bank | 12/05/1998 | Yes | 20 |

E CASEWORK
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Appendix C - Outstanding Modification Order Cases

| Colsterworth | 259 | PF 14 | PF 14 | 06/05/2004 | No | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heapham | 360 | PF | Variation of Statement for PF 57 | 20/12/2012 | No | 22 |
| Leasingham | 199 | RB | Proposed downgrading of RB 13 to PF | 27/07/1983 | No | 23 |
| Belton / Gt. Gonerby | 12 | PF | Belton to Great Gonerby (Gads Lane) | 01/11/1983 | No | 24 |
| Heydour | 48 | PF | PF to be diverted - from Southern end of PF 3 to church Lees | 01/01/1985 | No | 25 |
| Aunsby \& Dembleby | 5 | PROW | see file | 11/07/1985 | No | 26 |
| Mablethorpe and Sutton | 106 | PF | High St to Broadway | 16/09/1985 | No | 27 |
| Grimoldby | 43 | PF | from Mill Lane | 23/10/1985 | No | 28 |
| Hogsthorpe | 49 | PF | Sea Lane to Maiden Lane | 11/12/1986 | No | 29 |
| Burgh-le-Marsh | 18 | PF | Faulkers Lane | 10/02/1987 | No | 30 |
| Stamford | 101 | PF | Cherry Holt Lane to Priory Rd | 03/04/1987 | No | 31 |
| East Stockwith/ Morton | 27 | PF | PF from Willowbank Lane to Walkerith Road | 04/06/1987 | No | 32 |
| Linwood / Market Rasen | 60 | PF | Buslingthorpe R/way Bdge | 18/06/1987 | No | 33 |
| Middle Rasen | 72 | PF | Caistor Rd to Gatehouse Lane | 05/08/1987 | No | 34 |
| Gainsborough | 36 | PF | Whites Wood | 07/09/1987 | No | 35 |
| Belchford | 11 | PB | Ings Lane | 25/01/1988 | No | 36 |
| Skidbrooke with Salthaven | 85 | PF | The Haven | 30/03/1988 | No | 37 |
| Leasingham | 272 | PF | Claimed PF from PF2 to PF3 in the Parish of Leasingham | 21/10/1988 | No | 38 |
| -jesthorpe/Buslingthorpe/Lissington etc | 32 | PB | BW from Lissingley Lane to Shortwood Lane | 08/05/1989 | No | 39 |
| 0jnwood/Legsby | 59 | PF | Linwood Church to Bleasby | 11/07/1989 | No | 40 |
| Qreat Gonerby/Marston | 41 | PF | Cliff Lane | 01/01/1990 | No | 41 |
| Qutton St James | 108 | BOAT | Badgate | 01/02/1990 | No | 42 |
| DParket Rasen/Tealby | 68 | PF | from PF163 | 15/03/1990 | No | 43 |
| Q/elbourn (etc..) | 117 | BOAT | Ermine St | 04/04/1990 | No | 44 |
| Castle Bytham | 136 | RB | RB 3 | 22/10/1990 | No | 45 |
| Glentham | 38 | PF | From church gates | 07/04/1991 | No | 46 |
| Middle Rasen | 69 | PB | PB514 to BOAT | 22/05/1991 | No | 47 |
| Kettlethorpe | 55 | BOAT | Know as Westmoor Lane | 19/08/1991 | No | 48 |
| Fulletby | 35 | BOAT | Mill Street | 22/05/1992 | No | 49 |
| Rothwell / Cabourne | 81 | BOAT | PB24 to BOAT | 15/06/1992 | No | 50 |
| Great Gonerby | 40 | PF | PF6 to join RB 5 | 21/12/1992 | No | 51 |
| Alford | 344 | BOAT | Claimed BOAT from Hanby Lane to to New Cemetary | 01/04/1993 | No | 52 |
| Bassingham | 9 | PF | Claimed PF from Brocklebank Close to playing field | 27/10/1993 | No | 53 |
| Navenby | 154 | PF | 'The Smoots' | 22/05/1996 | No | 54 |
| Fulletby | 162 | PF | School Lane | 10/10/1996 | No | 55 |
| Beckingham/Brant Broughton | 167 | PF | PF 5 to BW and missing link | 12/12/1996 | No | 56 |
| Coningsby | 182 | PF | School Lane to Dogdyke Road | 06/11/1997 | No | 57 |
| Little Carlton/Legbourne | 185 | PB | 'Blind Lane' from PB 624 (Manby) | 22/01/1998 | No | 58 |
| Louth | 186 | PB | Grimsby/Louth Railway Line ( part from Keddington Rd crossing to Little Grim | 03/02/1998 | No | 59 |
| Horncastle | 195 | PF | Boston Road to the Wong | 23/05/1998 | No | 60 |
| Grantham | 194 | PF | Denton Ave to PF 16 | 28/05/1998 | No | 61 |
| Halton Holegate | 201 | PF | Northorpe Road to Ashby Road | 17/08/1998 | No | 62 |



Appendix C - Outstanding Modification Order Cases

Appendix C - Outstanding Modification Order Cases

Appendix C - Outstanding Modification Order Cases

| Benniworth | 358 | PF | Part of PF9 | No | 25/07/2012 | No |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Caythorpe and Frieston | 359 | PF | Claimed Footpath between Old Lincoln Road and PF4 and PF to PF5 | $005 / 10 / 2012$ | No |  |
| Sudbrooke | 360 | PF | Claimed footpaths in Sudbrooke Park | 146 |  |  |
| Foston | 361 | PF | Claimed bridleway from 16 Chapel Lane to Restricted Byway No.5 | $04 / 12 / 2012$ | No | $14 / 01 / 2013$ |
| Upton | 362 | PF | Claimed BOAT from Heapham Road to Slingers Wood | No | 148 |  |
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